	Case 3:09-cv-05812-RS	Document 100	Filed 04/30/10	Page 1 of 3			
1							
2							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION						
12							
13	INTERSERVE, INC. DBA TECHCRUNCH, ET AL.,) (Case No.: C-09-05	812 RS (PVT)			
14	Plaintiffs,) (C) (2)	ORDER DISSOL 010 ORDER TO	VING APRIL 28, SHOW CAUSE			
15	V.)					
16	FUSION GARAGE PTE LTD.,) [1	Docket No. 88]				
17	Defendant.)					
18	On April 28, 2010, the court of	ordered plaintiffs In	nterserve, Inc. doi	ng business as			
1920	TechCrunch and CrunchPad, Inc. to show cause why non-confidential portions of the Rule						
21	30(b)(6) transcript of Michael Arringt	ton cannot be de-d	esignated no later	than May 6, 2010.			
22	("April 28, 2010 Order to Show Caus	e").					
23	In response to the April 28, 2010 Order to Show Cause, plaintiffs state that "[they] car meet the May 6 deadline." <i>See</i> Docket No. 92. In light of plaintiffs' response, the April 28,						
24							
25	2010 Order to Show Cause is hereby	dissolved.					
26	Defendant Fusion Garage shall advise whether it has further challenges to any						
27	confidentiality designations for the R	ule 30(b)(6) transc	ript no later than l	May 14, 2010. <i>See</i>			
28							

Case 3:09-cv-05812-RS Document 100 Filed 04/30/10 Page 2 of 3

Docket No. 66. Plaintiffs may file their response, if any, no later than May 21, 2010. IT IS SO ORDERED. Patricia V. Trumbull Dated: April 30, 2010 PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge

		Case 3:09-cv-05812-RS	Document 100	Filed 04/30/10	Page 3 of 3
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
1718					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
			Order, page 3		
	Ī		URDER, page 3)	